

ನಾಲ್ಕು ಅಂಗೀಕೃತ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯೂಟಿವ್‌ನಿಂದ ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂತು. ಒಂದು ಕೇಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗೀಕೃತ ಸರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಸ್‌ನು ಹಾಕಿದರು. ಅದು ಕಾಂಪ್ರೊಮೈಸ್ ಆಗಿದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the nature of complaint ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—One was regarding the sacrifice of a he-buffalow in Mari Festival and their refusal. The second allegation was theft, trespass and etc. The third for theft of a he-goat. The fourth was about the same allegations repeated in this application. The last was obstruction for taking drinking water and cattle. These were the types of allegations.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ದಾನಪ್ಪ.—ಅಲ್ಲ ಜನರನ್ನು ಹೆದರಿಸಿ ರಾಜಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The parties compromised in the court. The allegation is not correct.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Are the Government aware that whenever Schedule Caste people go to the Police Station to register a complaint, the Police Staff.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—You must ask a question pertaining to this particular business.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—How is it possible to put a question on the particular question ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Arumugham, this question is of a particular nature.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Is it a fact that the Police interfered with the Harijans and forced them to go in for a compromise ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no question of putting force. The parties compromised themselves in the court. All the cases which have been referred to were enquired and ultimately one case was launched in the court and this was later compromised.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ನಿದ್ಧಯ್ಯ.—ಹರಿಜನರ ದೂರುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಿಕಾರ ಆಗಿದೆ.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—After the compromise was effected, may I know whether these Harijans were allowed to take water ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ದೂರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಡಿಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕ್ಲಾಕ್ ಸೆಪರೇಟ್ ಆಫೀಸರಾಗಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸೆಪರೇಟ್ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಆಫೀಸರಾಗಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ದೂರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದರೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅಪ್ಪಿಕೇಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Publicity Officers in the State.

Q.—2669. Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Hirekerur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of Publicity Officers with their duties in the State ;

(b) whether they intend to make the Department Statewide ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Five. Four in the Districts of Dharwar, Bijapur, North Kanara and Belgaum and one attached to Headquarters. Arranging film shows in the rural areas, attending to the coverage of important functions connected with the development activities, participation in exhibitions, etc., publicising the Plan and enlisting public co-operation, issuing news items and press notes of local importance to the local press, addressing meetings in the villages.

(b) This is under consideration of Government.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Since when is it under the consideration of the Government and how long will it take them to re-organise the whole department ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It may take about one-and-a-half months.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is the extension of the Publicity Department contemplated under the Second Five-Year Plan and what is the benefit that is derived by the people ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In the Second Five-Year Plan, it is for giving publicity to the programme of the State of Mysore. For each district, one Publicity Officer is contemplated.

Sri H. B. SHAH.—May I know whether the Government is aware that

(SRI H. B. SHAH)

the Publicity Officers are not giving news directly to the local newspaper men?

MR. SPEAKER.—That is outside the scope of the question.

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—May I know whether it is not a fact was this question of appointing Publicity Officer, one in each district, was under the contemplation of the Government since more than one year?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—The Hon'ble Member knows since he was in charge of that portfolio.

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—What are the steps that Government have taken in this respect?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—Just now, I said that the re-organization proposals were before the Government and action would be taken within 1½ months.

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Is the Government aware that our publicity is the poorest in the whole of India?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—It is known to the Hon'ble Member.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—May I know whether Government are going to re-organise the department at one stage or step by step?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—That scheme is before the Government. Government will take a decision within 1½ months.

DR. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether the publicity officer in each district works under the Deputy Commissioner of the district or under the Publicity Officer at the centre?

SRI B. D. JATTI.—They are working under the Publicity Officer at the centre. But in each district, they are the *ex-officio* development secretaries under the Chairmanship of the Deputy Commissioner.

Smt. RATHNAMMA MADHAVA RAO.—I am not putting question No. 2731 as the road has been formed.

Agencies to provide Grants and Loans for Construction of Houses.

Q.—2892. SRI C. K. RAJAI AH SETTY (Chikanaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the agencies which operate Housing grants and loans;

(b) whether any grants or loans given by the Central Government lapsed due to non-submission of proposals in time or due to failure to execute the proposed schemes or late allotments to the concerned societies or Housing Board;

(c) if so, the amount that lapsed since the inception of the scheme?

A.—SRI T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

- (a) 1 The Mysore Housing Board.
- 2 The Housing Co-operatives.
- 3 The Local Bodies.
- 4 Other non-Governmental but reorganised public institutions.

(b) There were no lapses due to non-submission of proposals, or failure to execute schemes, or late allotments by Housing Boards.

(c) Does not arise.

*SRI T. SUBRAMANYA.—There is some mistake. In answer to question (b), the answer given is that there have been no lapses. It is not correct. I wish to state that there has been a lapse of 52 lakhs in the year 1955-56 due to reasons not mentioned there but for other causes. Therefore, the whole amount that has been allowed to lapse so far from the inception of the scheme is 52 lakhs.

SRI C. K. RAJAI AH SETTY.—May I know the other reasons why it has been allowed to lapse?

SRI T. SUBRAMANYA.—That was the beginning of the scheme. It was the year in which it was introduced. There was delay in getting formalities finalised in the matter of taking possession of sites and re covering 20 per cent contribution from parties, calling for and accepting tenders for the construction of the Houses and the delay in procuring steel and cement, and a delay in getting water supply for construction work has been caused. Therefore we could not finally spend the whole amount.